# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Zi-Lu Chen,\* Yu-Zhen Zhang and Fu-Pei Liang

College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi Normal University, Yucai Road 15, Guilin 541004, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: zilu619@yahoo.com.cn

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 291 KMean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004 \text{ Å}$  R factor = 0.023 wR factor = 0.054 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Poly[aqua- $\mu_3$ -*p*-aminobenzoato- $\kappa^3 N$ :O:O'- $\mu_2$ -*p*-aminobenzoato- $\kappa^2 O$ :O'-*p*-amino-benzoato- $\kappa^2 O$ ,O'-gadolinium(III)]

In the title compound,  $[Gd(C_7H_6NO_2)_3(H_2O)]_n$ , a twodimensional coordination polymer, the eight-coordinate Gd<sup>III</sup> ions are bridged by two carboxylate groups from two  $\mu_2$ -*p*aminobenzoate ligands, forming a centrosymmetric dinuclear block. These blocks are further connected by  $\mu_3$ -*p*-aminobenzoate ligands, yielding a two-dimensional network. The coordination polymers thus formed are connected *via* hydrogen bonds, producing a three-dimensional supramolecular structure.

#### Comment

Construction of metal–organic frameworks has attracted much attention owing to their fascinating structures and their potential applications as functional solid materials (Seo *et al.*, 2000; Swiegers & Malefetseet, 2000; Pavlishchuk *et al.*, 2001; Kitaura *et al.*, 2002; Hagrman *et al.*, 1999). Most recent studies have concentrated on *d*-block transition metals, with much less research done on lanthanides because of their tendency for high coordination numbers (Sun *et al.*, 2005; Pan *et al.*, 2003; Chen *et al.*, 2006). We report here the synthesis and structure of a two-dimensional gadolinium(III) coordination polymer, (I), assembled with the *p*-aminobenzoate ligand.



 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

Fig. 1 shows that compound (I) consists of  $[Gd(C_7H_6NO_2)_3(H_2O)]$  units in which the eight-coordinate

Received 5 June 2006

Accepted 6 June 2006



#### Figure 1

A view of part of the structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme and 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z; (iii) -x, -y + 2, -z; (iv) 1 + x, y, z; (v) -1 + x, y, z.]



#### Figure 2

Coordination modes of *p*-aminobenzoate: (a) chelating mode, (b)  $\mu_2$ -bridging mode and (c)  $\mu_3$ -bridging mode.

 $\mathrm{Gd}^{\mathrm{III}}$  ion is connected to one terminal water molecule, the amino group from one *p*-aminobenzoate ligand and six carboxylate O atoms from five *p*-aminobenzoate ligands, forming a distorted dodecahedral geometry. Thus, each  $\mathrm{Gd}^{\mathrm{III}}$  ion in compound (I) is coordinated by six *p*-aminobenzoate ligands which show three types of coordination modes (chelating mode,  $\mu_2$ -bridging mode and  $\mu_3$ -bridging mode; Fig. 2). Two mononuclear units are bridged by two carboxylate



Figure 3 The two-dimensional coordination network in (I). H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

groups from the  $\mu_2$ -bridging *p*-aminobenzoate ligands, forming a dinuclear building block with an inversion centre located between the Gd<sup>III</sup> ions and with a Gd····Gd separation of 4.7505 (9) Å. These dinuclear building blocks are further connected by  $\mu_3$ -bridging *p*-aminobenzoate ligands, yielding a two-dimensional coordination network parallel to the *ac* plane (Fig. 3). This connection leads to a Gd···Gd contact of 5.1271 (9) Å along the *c* axis. Hydrogen bonds (Table 2) further stabilize the two-dimensional networks and additionally connect them into a three-dimensional supramolecular structure.

### **Experimental**

 $Gd_2O_3$  (0.1813 g, 0.5 mmol) was dissolved in 0.3 ml of HClO<sub>4</sub> (70%) with heating. The solution was then transferred into a Young–Schlenk flask containing *p*-aminobenzoic acid (0.3429 g, 2.5 mmol) in 15 ml distilled water. The pH value of the resultant mixture was adjusted to 5 using NaOH solution and the reaction mixture was left to stand at 373 K for 4 d, and subsequently cooled and filtered. Red crystals of compound (I) were formed when the filtrate was allowed to evaporate at ambient temperature for one month. Elemental analysis, calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Gd (%): C 43.22, H 3.45, N 7.20; found: C 43.40, H 3.80, N 7.93.

Crystal data

 $\begin{bmatrix} \text{Gd}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_6\text{NO}_2)_3(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \end{bmatrix} & Z = 4 \\ M_r = 583.65 & D_x = 1.792 \text{ Mg m}^{-3} \\ \text{Monoclinic, } P_{2_1/n} & \text{Mo } K\alpha \text{ radiation} \\ a = 9.7639 (18) \text{ Å} & \mu = 3.11 \text{ mm}^{-1} \\ b = 22.836 (4) \text{ Å} & T = 291 (2) \text{ K} \\ c = 9.8496 (18) \text{ Å} & \text{Block, red} \\ \beta = 99.908 (4)^\circ & 0.46 \times 0.33 \times 0.20 \text{ mm} \\ V = 2163.4 (7) \text{ Å}^3 \\ \end{bmatrix}$ 

## Data collection

- Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
- $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans
- Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 1998)  $T_{min} = 0.310, T_{max} = 0.534$

13405 measured reflections 4828 independent reflections 3994 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.019$  $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}$ 

# metal-organic papers

Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0217P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$	+ 1.9268P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.054$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.006$
4828 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.47 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
307 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.17 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$
H atoms treated by a mixture of	
independent and constrained	
refinement	

Table	1
-------	---

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Gd1-O3	2.307 (2)	Gd1-O2	2.4672 (18)
Gd1-O4 <sup>i</sup>	2.3444 (19)	Gd1-O1	2.4720 (18)
Gd1-O5	2.3509 (16)	Gd1-O7	2.5260 (19)
Gd1-O6 <sup>ii</sup>	2.356 (2)	$Gd1-N3^{iii}$	2.670 (2)
Summatry and as	(i) $x + 1 + y + 2$	z + 1 (ii)	x + 1 $y + 2$ $z = (iii)$

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z; (iii) -x, -y + 2, -z.

 Table 2

 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O7−H1W···O1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.85(1)	2.58 (2)	3.184 (3)	129 (2)
$O7-H1W\cdots O6$	0.85 (1)	2.00(1)	2.786 (3)	153 (3)
N3-H3 $B$ ···O2 <sup>vi</sup>	0.90	2.04	2.924 (3)	168
N3-H3A···N2 <sup>vii</sup>	0.90	2.48	3.374 (4)	175
$O7-H2W \cdot \cdot \cdot N1^{viii}$	0.85(1)	2.09 (2)	2.892 (3)	158 (2)
$N2-H2A\cdots O7^{ix}$	0.85 (3)	2.36 (2)	3.135 (4)	151 (4)
$N1 - H1A \cdots O1^{x}$	0.86 (1)	2.23 (2)	2.985 (3)	147 (3)

Symmetry codes: (ii) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z; (vi) x - 1, y, z - 1; (vii)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (viii)  $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ix)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ix)  $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

The H atoms bound to N1, N2 and O7 were located in a difference Fourier map and were refined isotropically with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2$  times  $U_{eq}(N,O)$ ; O-H and H···H distances were restrained (O-H = 0.85, N-H = 0.86 and H···H = 1.45 Å) to ensure a reasonable geometry for the coordinated water molecule and amino group. H atoms bound to N3 and to C atoms were placed in calculated positions, with N-H = 0.90 Å and C-H = 0.93 Å, and refined in riding mode, with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(N,C)$ . The deepest hole is located 0.76 Å from Gd1.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

We thank the Scientific Research Foundation of Guangxi Normal University and the Science Foundation of Guangxi (Guikeqing 0542021), China.

#### References

- Bruker (1998). SHELXTL (Version 6.02), SMART (Version 5.051), SAINT (Version 5.01) and SADABS (Version 2.0). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chen, Z.-L., Zhang, Y.-Z. & Liang, F.-P. (2006). Acta Cryst. C62, m48-m50.
- Hagrman, P. J., Hagrman, D. & Zubieta, J. (1999). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38, 2638–2684.
- Kitaura, R., Kitagawa, S., Kubota, Y., Kobayashi, T. C., Kindo, K., Mita, Y., Matsuo, A., Kobayashi, M., Chang, H.-C., Ozawa, T. C., Suzuki, M., Sakata, M. & Takata, M. (2002). *Science*, **298**, 2358–2361.
- Pan, L., Adams, K. M., Hernandez, H. E., Wang, X., Zheng, C., Hattori, Y. & Kaneko, X. (2003). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125, 3062–3067.
- Pavlishchuk, V. V., Koval, I. A., Goreshnik, E., Addison, A. W., Albada, G. A. & Reedijk, J. (2001). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1, 297–301.
- Seo, J. S., Whang, D., Lee, H., Jun, S. I., Oh, J., Jeon, Y. J. & Kim, K. (2000). *Nature (London)*, **404**, 982–986.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sun, Y.-Q., Zhang, J., Chen, Y.-M. & Yang, G.-Y. (2005). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44, 5814–5817.
- Swiegers, G. F. & Malefetseet, T. J. (2000). Chem. Rev. 100, 3483-3538.